

Recall: Equivalent definitions for characteristic sets of a differential ideal I :
Let A be an autoreduced set contained in I w.r.t. a fixed ranking. Then

- (1) A is a characteristic set of I
 \Leftrightarrow (2) A is of lowest rank among all autoreduced sets containing in I
 \Leftrightarrow (3) For each $f \in A$, $\delta\text{-rem}(f, A) = 0$
 \Leftrightarrow (4) I doesn't contain a nonzero δ -poly reduced w.r.t. A .

Note. $V = \delta\text{-rem}(f, A)$, the diff remainder of f w.r.t. A , satisfies the relation:

$$\prod_{A \in A} S_A^{i_A} I_A^{t_A} \cdot f \equiv V \pmod{[A]} \text{ for some } i_A, t_A \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (3), $I \subseteq \text{Sat}(A) \triangleq [A] : H_A^\infty$. ($H_A^\infty = \{ \prod_{A \in A} S_A^{i_A} I_A^{t_A} \mid i_A, t_A \in \mathbb{N} \}$).

By (4), $S_A, I_A \notin I$ for $\forall A \in A$.

A differential ring is called Ritt-Noetherian if the set of radical differential ideals satisfies ACC condition (Equivalently, every radical differential ideal is finitely generated as a radical δ -ideal.)

Theorem 2.2.3 (Ritt-Raudenbush basis Theorem)

Let (K, δ) be a differential field of characteristic 0.

Then $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is Ritt-Noetherian.

Proof. Sp. the contrary. Then \exists a radical δ -ideal $\subseteq K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ not finitely generated as a radical δ -ideal.

By Zorn's lemma, \exists a maximal radical δ -ideal J not finitely generated as a radical δ -ideal.

Claim: J is prime.

If not, $\exists a, b \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, $a, b \notin J$ but $ab \in J$.

So $J \not\subseteq \{J, a\}$ and $J \not\subseteq \{J, b\}$. By the maximality of J ,
 $\exists f_1, \dots, f_s, g_1, \dots, g_t \in J$ s.t.

$$\{J, a\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_s, a\} \text{ and } \{J, b\} = \{g_1, \dots, g_t, b\}.$$

(Here, we use $\text{char}(K)=0$. Think why.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } J^2 &\subseteq \{J, a\} \cdot \{J, b\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_s, a\} \cdot \{g_1, \dots, g_t, b\} \\ &\subseteq \{f_i g_j, f_i b, a g_j : 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t\} \\ &\subseteq J. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow J = \{f_i g_j, f_i b, a g_j : 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t\}$ is finitely generated $\rightarrow \leftarrow$.
 Thus, J is prime and the claim is proved.

Select a ranking Q on $\mathbb{Q}(Y)$ and take a characteristic set $A = A_1, \dots, A_p$ of J . Denote $IS = \prod_{i=1}^p (A_i - I_{A_i})$.

J is prime $\Rightarrow J = \text{sat}(A) = [A] :_{H_A}^\infty \subseteq \{A\} : IS$. (Indeed, $\forall f \in \text{sat}(A)$
 $\exists k_i, t_i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\prod_{i=1}^p I_{A_i}^{k_i} S_{A_i}^{t_i} f \in [A] \subseteq \{A\}$. Take $t = \max\{k_i+1, t_i+1\}$. Then $(IS)^t f \in \{A\}$.)

So $IS \cdot f \in \{A\}$. Since $IS \notin J$, $\exists f_1, \dots, f_e \in J$ s.t.

$$\{J, IS\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_e, IS\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } J^2 &\subseteq J \cdot \{J, IS\} = J \cdot \{f_1, \dots, f_e, IS\} \\ &\subseteq \{f_1, \dots, f_e, A\} \\ &\subseteq J. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $J = \{f_1, \dots, f_e, A_1, \dots, A_p\}$, a contradiction to the hypothesis that J is not finitely generated radically.

Whence, $K\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_n \rangle$ is \mathbb{R} -Noetherian. \square

Theorem 2.2.4. Let R be a δ -ring which is Ritt-Noetherian and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq R$. Then for every radical δ -ideal $I \neq R$, there exist a finite number of prime δ -ideals P_1, \dots, P_e s.t.

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^e P_i. \quad (*)$$

Moreover, if $(*)$ is irredundant ($\forall i, \bigcap_{j \neq i} P_j \not\subseteq P_i$), then this set of prime ideals is unique. In this case, P_1, \dots, P_e are called prime components of I .

Proof. Suppose the statement is false, i.e., the set

$$A = \{ I \mid I \neq R, I \text{ is a radical } \delta\text{-ideal and } I \text{ is not a finite intersection of prime } \delta\text{-ideals} \}$$

is not empty.

Since R is Ritt-Noetherian, every ascending chain of radical δ -ideal has an upper bound in A . By Zorn's lemma, A has a maximal element $J \in A$. Clearly, J is not prime. So $\exists a, b \notin J$, but $ab \in J$. Thus, $\{J, a\} \neq J$ and $\{J, b\} \neq J$.

Also, $\{J, a\} \neq R$. Indeed, if not, then $1 \in \{J, a\}$. Since $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq R$, $1 \in [J, a]$ and $1 = f + z * \delta^k(a)$. By $ab \in J$ and J is radical,

$$b \delta^k(a) \in J \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \text{So } b = fb + z * b \delta^k(a) \in J, \text{ contradicting to } b \notin J.$$

Similarly, $\{J, b\} \neq R$ could be shown.

By the maximality of J , $\exists P_1^a, \dots, P_e^a, P_{e+1}^b, \dots, P_{e+t}^b$ prime δ -ideals in R s.t.

$$\{J, a\} = P_1^a \cap \dots \cap P_e^a \quad \text{and}$$

$$\{J, b\} = P_{e+1}^b \cap \dots \cap P_{e+t}^b.$$

Now show $J = \{J, a\} \cap \{J, b\}$. Indeed, let $f \in \{J, a\} \cap \{J, b\}$, then

$$f^2 \in \{J, a\} \cdot \{J, b\} \subseteq \{J, ab\} \subseteq J \Rightarrow f \in J.$$

Thus, $J = \{J, a\} \cap \{J, b\} = P_1^a \cap \dots \cap P_e^a \cap P_{e+1}^b \cap \dots \cap P_{e+t}^b$, contradicting to the hypothesis $J \in A$. So the first statement is valid.

Uniqueness. Suppose $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^l P_i = \bigcap_{j=1}^t Q_j$ be irredundant intersections.
 For each $j=1, \dots, t$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^l P_i \subseteq Q_j$.

Then $\exists i_0 \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ s.t. $P_{i_0} \subseteq Q_j$. Indeed, s.p.s the contrary, then $\exists f_i \in P_i \setminus Q_j$ for each $i=1, \dots, l$. Thus, $f_1 f_2 \dots f_l \in \bigcap_{i=1}^l P_i \subseteq Q_j \rightarrow \leftarrow$.

Similarly, $\exists j_0 \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ s.t. $Q_{j_0} \subseteq P_{i_0} \subseteq Q_j$. Since $I = \bigcap_{j=1}^t Q_j$ is irredundant, $j_0 = j$ and $P_{i_0} = Q_j$.

Thus, $l=t$ and \exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_l$ s.t. $P_i = Q_{\sigma(i)}$. \square

Cor. 2.2.5 Every proper radical δ -ideal $I \subsetneq K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($\text{char}(K)=0$) can be written as a finite intersection of prime δ -ideals.

If $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^l P_i$ is irredundant, P_i are called prime components of I .

Example. $I = \{y''^2 - 4y\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\{y\}$. Then

$$I = \{y''^2 - 4y, y'' - 2\} \cap \{y\} \quad (\text{Chapter 3}).$$

We end this chapter by giving an example illustrating a δ -ideal is not finitely generated as a δ -ideal.

Example 2. The radical δ -ideal $\{xy\} \subseteq K\{x, y\}$ is not finitely generated as a δ -ideal. In other words, there doesn't exist finitely many δ -poly $f_1, \dots, f_s \in K\{x, y\}$ s.t. $\{xy\} = [f_1, \dots, f_s]$.

Proof. Let $I = \{xy\} \subseteq K\{x, y\}$ and $J = (x^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}) \subseteq K\{x, y\}$.

Claim A $I = J$.

Indeed, $J \subseteq I$, for $xy \in I \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 1.10}} \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}, x^{(i)}y^{(j)} \in I$.

It is easy to show that J is a δ -ideal and the following fact:

$f \notin J \Leftrightarrow f$ has a term not involving any $y^{(i)}$ (or $x^{(i)}$).

The fact implies that $J \subseteq \{xy\}$ is a radical δ -ideal and $I=J$ follows.

Now, suppose the contrary, i.e., $\exists f_1, \dots, f_s \in K\{x, y\}$ s.t. $I = [f_1, \dots, f_s]$.

Since $I=J$, then $\exists q \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $f_i \in [x^{(i)}y^{(j)} : 0 \leq i, j \leq q]$.

Hence, $I = [x^{(i)}y^{(j)} : 0 \leq i, j \leq q]$.

In particular, $x^{(q+1)}y^{(q+1)} \in [x^{(i)}y^{(j)} : 0 \leq i, j \leq q]$

\Downarrow substitute $x=y$ in the expression of $x^{(q+1)}y^{(q+1)}$ in terms of $x^{(i)}y^{(j)}$, ($i, j \leq q$)

$$(y^{(q+1)})^2 \in [y^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \leq q]. \quad (*)$$

$$(y^{(q+1)})^2 \notin \delta^2(V_{2q})$$

Use the notation in Example 1, $(y^{(q+1)})^2 \in V_{2q+2}$ and $V_{2q+2} = \text{span}_K \{ \delta^2(V_{2q}), (y^{(q+1)})^2 \}$.

But, $[y^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \leq q] \cap V_{2q+2} = \text{span}_K(\delta^2(V_{2q}))$.

(Indeed, $V_{2q} \subseteq [y^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \leq q] \subseteq [y^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \leq q]$)

$$\Rightarrow \delta^2(V_{2q}) \subseteq [y^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \leq q] \cap V_{2q+2}.$$

On the other hand, $\forall f \in [y^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \leq q] \cap V_{2q+2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq q} c_{ij} \delta^{2q+2-i-j} (y^{(i)}y^{(j)}) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq q} c_{ij} \delta^2 \left(\underbrace{\delta^{2q-i-j} (y^{(i)}y^{(j)})}_{\in V_{2q}} \right) \in \text{span}_K(\delta^2(V_{2q})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(y^{(q+1)})^2 \notin \text{span}_K(\delta^2(V_{2q}))$,

$(y^{(q+1)})^2 \notin [y^{(i)}y^{(j)} : i, j \leq q]$, contradicts to (*). \square .

Chapter 3 The Differential Algebra-Geometry Dictionary

Let (K, δ) be a differential field of characteristic 0.

Let $K\{Y\} = K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be the differential polynomial ring in the differential variables y_1, \dots, y_n over K . Any $\Sigma \subseteq K\{Y\}$ defines a system of algebraic diff equations.

The main objective of differential algebra is to study the solutions of any such system (i.e., differential varieties, our main protagonists).

§ 3.1 Ideal-Variety Correspondence in differential algebra

Recall the definitions of differential closed fields and differential varieties:

For $f \in K\{Y\} = K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in L^n$ w/ $(L, \delta) \supseteq (K, \delta)$,

η is a differential zero of f if $f(\eta) = 0$. Here, $f(\eta)$ means replacing $\delta^k y_i$ by $\delta^k(\eta_i)$ in $f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

(E, δ) is differentially closed if for all $F \subseteq E\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, whenever $\exists (L, \delta) \supseteq (E, \delta)$

and $\eta \in L^n$ s.t. $F(\eta) = 0$, there exists $\xi \in E^n$ s.t. $F(\xi) = 0$.

Let $(K, \delta) \subseteq (E, \delta)$. (E, δ) is called a differential closure of (K, δ) if

1) (L, δ) is differentially closed and 2) for every differential closed field

$(M, \delta) \supseteq (K, \delta)$, there is a differential embedding $\varphi: L \rightarrow M$ w/ $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$.

Throughout this chapter, $(E, \delta) \supseteq (K, \delta)$ is a fixed differential closed field.

By a differential affine space, we mean any E^n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An element $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in E^n$ is called a point.

A set $V \subseteq E^n$ is called a δ -variety over K if $\exists \Sigma \subseteq K\{Y\}$ s.t.

$$V = \mathcal{V}(\Sigma) \triangleq \{ \eta \in E^n \mid f(\eta) = 0, \forall f \in \Sigma \}.$$

Let $\Pi = \{ \delta\text{-varieties in } E^n \text{ over } K \}$.

Then Π satisfies: 1) $\emptyset, E^n \in \Pi$;

2) if $V_1, V_2 \in \Pi$, $V_1 \cup V_2 \in \Pi$;

3) Any intersection of elements of Π is an element of Π .

So Π is a topology on E^n , called the Kolchin topology, as compared to the Zariski topology in algebraic geometry. A δ -variety is called a Kolchin-closed set. For a set $S \subseteq E^n$, the smallest δ -variety (with respect to inclusion) containing S is called the Kolchin closure of S , denoted by S^{Kol} .

For a subset $S \subseteq E^n$, define

$$I(S) = \{ f \in K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \mid \forall \eta \in S, f(\eta) = 0 \}.$$

It is easy to show that $I(S)$ is a radical δ -ideal in $K\{Y\}$, called the vanishing δ -ideal of S .

Prop 3.1.1 1) If $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq E^n$, then $I(S_2) \subseteq I(S_1)$.

2) If $\mathcal{P}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2 \subseteq K\{Y\}$, then $V(\mathcal{P}_2) \subseteq V(\mathcal{P}_1)$.

3) If $S \subseteq E^n$, then $V = V(I(S))$ is the Kolchin closure of S and $I(V) = I(S)$.

Proof. 1) and 2) are straightforward.

To show 3): Let $S^{\text{Kol}} = V(\mathcal{Z})$ for $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq K\{Y\}$.

For every $f \in \mathcal{Z}$, $f|_S = 0 \Rightarrow f \in I(S)$. So $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq I(S)$.

Thus, $V = V(I(S)) \subseteq V(\mathcal{Z}) = S^{\text{Kol}}$. Hence, $S^{\text{Kol}} = V$.

$S \subseteq V \Rightarrow I(V) \subseteq I(S)$. If $\exists f \in I(S) \setminus I(V)$, then $\exists \eta \in V$ s.t.

$f(\eta) \neq 0$. Set $\mathcal{Z}_1 = I(V) \cup \{f\}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subseteq I(S) \Rightarrow V(\mathcal{Z}_1) \supseteq V(I(S)) = V$.

Since $\eta \in V$, $\eta \in V(\mathcal{Z}_1)$. So $f(\eta) = 0 \rightarrow \leftarrow$. \square

Now, we have two maps between Π and the set of radical δ -ideals in $K\{Y\} = K\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$:

$$\Pi: \underbrace{\{\delta\text{-varieties in } E^n \text{ over } K\}}_V \longrightarrow \underbrace{\{\text{radical } \delta\text{-ideals in } K\{Y\}\}}_{\Pi(V)}$$

and

$$V: \underbrace{\{\text{radical } \delta\text{-ideals in } K\{Y\}\}}_J \longrightarrow \underbrace{\{\delta\text{-varieties in } E^n \text{ over } K\}}_{V(J)}$$

Corollary 3.1.2 For every δ -variety V , $V(\Pi(V)) = V$.

Hence, Π is injective and V is surjective.

Proof. By Prop 3.1.1, $V = V^{\text{Kol}} = V(\Pi(V))$. \square

A point $\eta \in L^n$ ($L \supseteq K$ a δ -extension field) is a **generic zero** of a differential ideal I if $I = \Pi(\eta)$. Clearly,

A differential ideal I is prime $\Leftrightarrow I$ has a generic zero.

" \Rightarrow " if I prime, set $L = \text{Frac}(K\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}/I)$. Then

$(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_n) \in L^n$ is a generic zero of I .

Next section, we will give the differential Nullstellensatz theorem (both the weak and strong analogues of the Hilbert's Nullstellensatz theorem). Combining Corollary 3.1.2, we will show Π and V are inclusion-reversing bijective maps. For the content in this section, all the results are valid even if E is not differentially closed. But for the differential Nullstellensatz theorem to be valid, E is required to be differentially closed.