



# An algorithm for solving partial differential parametric systems<sup>☆</sup>

Jimin Wang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Xiao-Shan Gao<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Computer Science, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, PR China

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, PR China

Received 25 July 2000; received in revised form 16 July 2001; accepted 19 December 2001

---

## Abstract

For a partial differential parametric system

$$P_1 = 0, \dots, P_r = 0, \quad Q_1 \neq 0, \dots, Q_s \neq 0,$$

where  $P_i, Q_j$  are differential polynomials in  $K\{u_1, \dots, u_t, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  and  $u_k$  are parameters, an algorithm to solve the parametric system is presented in this paper. The algorithm finds not just the values of the parameters  $u_k$  such that the system has solutions, but also all solutions of this system.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 12H05; 34A09; 68W30

Keywords: Differential algebra; Differential parametric system; Wu–Ritt method; Cover

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $K$  be a differential field of characteristic 0 with a finite number of differential operators, and  $K\{u_1, \dots, u_t, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  (or  $K\{U, Y\}$  for short) be the differential polynomial ring with parameters  $u_1, \dots, u_t$  and indeterminates  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . By a parametric system, we mean

$$P_1 = 0, \dots, P_r = 0, \quad Q_1 \neq 0, \dots, Q_s \neq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $P_i, Q_j$  are differential polynomials in  $K\{U, Y\}$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, s$ ).

---

<sup>☆</sup> Supported by a ‘973’ Project of China (No.: G19980306).

\* Corresponding author. Department of Computer Science, Peking University, Beijing 100871, PR China.

E-mail addresses: wangjm@lzu.edu.cn, wjm@net.cs.pku.edu.cn (J. Wang), xgao@mmrc.iss.ac.cn (X.-S. Gao).

For the parametric system, two questions need to be answered: (1) for what value of the parameters does, the system (1.1) has solutions; (2) how to find all solutions of (1.1).

Sit [13] studied linear algebraic parametric systems. Weispfenning [14] investigated the general algebraic parametric system by introducing the *Comprehensive Groebner Basis*. Gao and Chou in [3] gave a solution to questions (1) and (2) for algebraic case based on Wu–Ritt’s zero decomposition algorithm [17] and Sit’s notation of covers [13]. Furthermore, they studied the parametric system for ordinary differential case in [4]. This paper will extend these results to partial differential case.

The idea of constructing a cover of differential zeros of a partial differential system is as follows. Firstly, we use Wu–Ritt’s differential zero decomposition algorithm [15] to decompose the system into a disjunction union of some special differential quasi-algebraic variety. Applying Rosenfeld’s lemma [11] on the relation of differential zeros and algebraic zeros of a special system of differential polynomials and Seidenberg’s technique [12], we then give a projection algorithm. Finally, we get an algorithm to solve the partial differential system, whence we obtain a differential zero structure theorem for (1.1) of the form  $(S_1, ASC_1), \dots, (S_l, ASC_l)$ , where  $S_i$  are some differential quasi-algebraic variety in the parameters  $U$  in a universal differential field  $L$  of  $K$  and  $ASC_i$  are ascending sets in the indeterminates  $Y$ , such that for each  $\xi \in S_i$ , when replacing the  $U$  by  $\xi$ , the  $ASC_i$  has solutions which are also solutions of (1.1). Furthermore, all solutions can be given in this way.

The *differential projection algorithm* presented in this paper is also based on some new results in theory of differential algebra, such as Morrison [8] and Li [7]. The background of its applications comes from control theory and quantifier elimination, see [1–4,9,10].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some necessary preliminaries and Wu–Ritt’s differential zero decomposition theorem. Section 3 gives a projection algorithm to eliminate differential indeterminates for a partial differential parametric system. In Section 4, we give an algorithm for solving the parametric system and two practical examples.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Concept and notation

Let the ground field  $K$  be a differential field of characteristic 0 with a finite number of *differential operators*  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ ;  $\Theta$  a free commutative monoid generated by  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$  with multiplicative identity  $\varepsilon = \delta_1^0 \cdots \delta_m^0$ . An element of  $\Theta$  is called a *derivative operator*. The *order* of a derivative operator  $\theta = \delta_1^{i_1} \cdots \delta_m^{i_m}$  equals  $i_1 + \cdots + i_m$  and is denoted by  $ord(\theta)$ . A derivative operator is *proper* if its order is positive.

In order to introduce a partial ordering between two differential polynomials, we first define below an ordering among the derivative operators of  $\Theta$ . Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$ ,  $\theta_1 = \delta_1^{i_1} \cdots \delta_m^{i_m}$ ,  $\theta_2 = \delta_1^{j_1} \cdots \delta_m^{j_m}$ .  $\theta_1$  is said to be *higher than*  $\theta_2$ , denoted by  $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ , if either  $ord(\theta_1) > ord(\theta_2)$  or  $ord(\theta_1) = ord(\theta_2)$  and there exists a positive integer  $k$ ,

$(1 \leq k \leq m)$ , such that

$$i_s = j_s \quad (\text{for } s > k), \quad \text{and} \quad i_k < j_k.$$

Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be differential indeterminates over  $K$ . For each  $y_k$ , the symbol  $\theta y_k$  denotes the partial derivative of  $y_k$  with respect to  $\theta$ , which is again an indeterminate over  $K$ . As a matter of convention,  $\varepsilon y_k$  equals  $y_k$ . Let

$$\Theta(Y) = \{\theta y_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, \theta \in \Theta\}.$$

An element  $\theta y_k$  of  $\Theta(Y)$  is called a *derivative* of  $y_k$ ; and  $k$ , the *class* of  $\theta y_k$ .

Among these elements of  $\Theta(Y)$ , we define an ordering as follows. Let  $\theta_i y_i, \theta_j y_j \in \Theta(Y)$ , and  $\theta_i y_i = \delta_1^{i_1} \dots \delta_m^{i_m} y_i, \theta_j y_j = \delta_1^{j_1} \dots \delta_m^{j_m} y_j$ . We say  $\theta_i y_i$  is *higher than*  $\theta_j y_j$ , denoted by  $\theta_i y_i > \theta_j y_j$ , if either  $i > j$  or  $i = j$  and  $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ .

The differential polynomial ring  $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is the usual commutative polynomial ring generated by  $\Theta(Y)$  over  $K$ , an element  $P$  of which is called a *differential polynomial* (abbreviated as: *d-pol*). If we ignore the differential structure, then  $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is an algebraic polynomial ring which is referred to as the *underlying ring*. The notation  $Elm(P)$  denotes the algebraic polynomial corresponding to *d-pol*  $P$ .

A subset  $J$  of  $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is called a *differential ideal* if for all  $P \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}, Q_1, Q_2 \in J, \delta \in \Theta$ , we have  $PQ_1 \in J, Q_1 + Q_2 \in J$  and  $\delta Q_1 \in J$ . For a set of *d-pols*  $DP$ , we use the notation  $[DP]$  to denote a differential ideal generated by  $DP$ .

For  $P \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \setminus K$ , the *class* of  $P$  is defined to be the greatest  $k$  such that  $\theta y_k$  occurs in  $P$  and is denoted by  $cls(P)$ . Assume that  $cls(P) = k$ , the highest derivative in  $y_k$  appearing in  $P$  is  $\theta$ , then  $\theta y_k$  is called the *Lead* of  $P$  and is denoted by  $ld(P)$ . The *order* of  $P$  is defined to be  $ord(\theta)$  and denoted by  $ord(P)$ . The *degree* of  $P$  is the degree of  $P$  in  $\theta y_k$  and denoted by  $deg(P)$ . The leading coefficient of  $P$  with respect to  $\theta y_k$  is called the *initial* of  $P$  and denoted by  $ini(P)$ . The formal partial derivative of  $P$  with respect to  $\theta y_k$  is called the *separant* of  $P$  and denoted by  $sep(P)$ . A power product of  $ini(P)$  and  $sep(P)$  is called an *IS-product* of  $P$ .

Suppose that  $P, Q \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \setminus K$ , and  $ld(P) = \theta_i y_i, ld(Q) = \theta_j y_j$ .  $P$  is said to be *higher than*  $Q$ , denoted by  $P > Q$  (or  $Q < P$ ), if either  $ld(P) > ld(Q)$  or  $ld(P) = ld(Q) = u$  and  $deg_u P > deg_u Q$ . In addition, we convent that for all  $P \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \setminus K, Q \in K, P > Q$ . If neither  $P > Q$  nor  $Q > P$ , then we say  $P$  and  $Q$  are of the same rank, written as  $rank(P) = rank(Q)$ .

Let  $L$  be a universal differential field (see [6]) of  $K$ . For a subset  $DP \subseteq K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ , a differential zero of  $DP$  is understood to be an  $n$ -tuple  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in L^n$  such that every  $P$  in  $DP$  becomes zero after  $\theta y_k$  is replaced by  $\theta z_k$ , for all  $\theta y_k$  occurring in  $DP$ . The set of differential zeros of  $DP$  is denoted by  $d\text{-zero}(DP)$ . When  $DP$  is regarded as a subset of the underlying ring, an algebraic zero of  $DP$  we mean a solution of  $DP = 0$ , and the set of algebraic zeros of  $DP$  is denoted by  $zero(DP)$ . It is clear that  $d\text{-zero}(DP) \subseteq zero(DP)$ , and the converse fails in general. For  $DP, DQ \subseteq K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ,  $d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$  and  $zero(DP/DQ)$  denote, respectively, the sets of all common *d-zeros* and zeros (in  $L$ ) of the *d-pols* in  $DP$  which do not annihilate any *d-pol* in  $DQ$ .

## 2.2. Wu–Ritt’s differential zero decomposition theorem

For  $P \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \setminus K, Q \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $Q$  is said to be *reduced* with respect to  $P$  if

- (1) no proper derivative of  $ld(P)$  occurs in  $Q$ ; or
- (2) if  $ld(P)$  occurs in  $Q$ , then  $deg_{ld(P)} Q < deg_{ld(P)} P$ .

A *differential ascending set* (abbreviated as: *d-asc set*) is either a single non-zero element in  $K$  or a finite sequence of non-zero *d-pols*, none of which is in  $K: A_1, \dots, A_r$ , such that  $A_1 < \dots < A_r$  with each  $A_i$  is reduced with respect to  $A_j$  for  $i > j$ . By an *IS-product*  $J$  of a *d-asc set*  $ASC$ , we mean a product of the IS-product of all *d-pols* in  $ASC$ .

A non-zero *d-pol*  $Q$  is said to be *reduced* with respect to a *d-asc set*  $ASC$  if it is reduced with respect to every *d-pol*  $P$  in  $ASC$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $ASC$  be a  $d$ -asc set:  $A_1, \dots, A_r, Q$  any  $d$ -pol. There exist non-negative integers  $s_i, t_i, (i=1, \dots, r)$ , such that when a suitable linear combination of some  $d$ -pols and their derivatives among  $ASC$  are subtracted from*

$$ini(P_1)^{t_1} \cdots ini(P_r)^{t_r} sep(P_1)^{s_1} \cdots sep(P_r)^{s_r} Q \quad (2.1)$$

the remainder,  $R$  is reduced with respect to  $ASC$ .

In [15], the above  $R$  is called the *differential remainder* of  $G$  with respect to  $ASC$ , denoted by  $d\text{-rem}(Q, ASC)$ . Furthermore, (2.1) can be written simply as

$$J * Q \equiv R \pmod{d\text{-mod}(ASC)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $J$  is an *IS-product* of  $ASC$ . Actually, we may give the concrete expression of the differential remainder  $R$  as follows.

$$R = J * Q - \sum_{i, \theta \in \Theta} C_{i, \theta} \theta A_i, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $C_{i, \theta} \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ .

A passive system is a special system of differential polynomials, which was studied and developed by Wu [15]. The reader is referred to Wu [15] or Li [7] for a general theory for passive *d-asc sets* and precise definitions of terms not defined in this section.

**Theorem 2.2** (Wu–Ritt’s Differential Zero Decomposition Theorem (weak form) (Wu [15])) *Let  $DP$  be a differential polynomial system, there exists an algorithm for decomposing  $DP$  as the following form*

$$d\text{-zero}(DP) = \bigcup_k d\text{-zero}(ASC_k/J_k),$$

where  $ASC_k$  are some passive *d-asc sets*,  $J_k$  is an *IS-product* of  $ASC_k$ .

Note that Wu–Ritt’s differential zero decomposition algorithm needs only arithmetic and differential operations, and no factorization is needed.

### 3. A differential projection algorithm

A *differential quasi-algebraic variety* is defined to be  $D = \cup_{i=1}^k (d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ))$ , where  $DP$  and  $DQ$  are two  $d$ -pol sets in  $K\{U, Y\}$ .  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$  is called a set of parameter and  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  a set of indeterminates. For given two  $d$ -pol sets  $DP$  and  $DQ$  in  $K\{U, Y\}$ , we define the *Projection* with the  $y_n, \dots, y_1$  as follows:

$$\text{Proj}_{y_1, \dots, y_n} d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ) = \{e \in L^t \mid \exists a \in L^n, \text{ s.t. } (e, a) \in d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)\}.$$

If  $t = 0$ , we define  $\text{Proj}_{y_1, \dots, y_n} d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ) = \text{True}$  if  $d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ) \neq \emptyset$ , and *False* otherwise.

We firstly study the case occurring a differential indeterminate  $y$  in the parametric system.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $ASC \subset K\{U, y\}$  be a  $d$ -asc set,  $Q \in K\{U, y\}$ , the differential remainder  $R = d\text{-rem}(Q, P)$ . Then*

$$\text{Proj}_{y_n} d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * Q) = \text{Proj}_{y_n} d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * R),$$

in which  $J$  is an IS-product of  $ASC$ .

**Proof.** The previous expression (2.3) can be written as

$$J * Q = \sum_{i, \theta \in \Theta} C_{i, \theta} \theta A_i + R. \tag{3.1}$$

From (3.1), we have that those differential zeros of  $ASC$  which do not annihilate  $J$ ,  $R \neq 0$  will lead to  $Q \neq 0$  and vice versa, i.e.,  $Q$  and  $R$  have the same differential zeros under the given hypothesis. Then  $d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * Q) = d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * R)$ . Furthermore,

$$\text{Proj}_y d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * Q) = \text{Proj}_y d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * R).$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

In what follows, Algorithm 3.2 gives the method of eliminating a differential indeterminate  $y$ , i.e., computing  $\text{Proj}_y d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * Q)$ , where  $ASC \subset K\{U, y\}$ ,  $Q \in K\{U, y\}$ .

**Algorithm 3.2.**

*Input:* A passive  $d$ -asc set  $ASC = \{B_1, \dots, B_r, A_1, \dots, A_s\}$ , where  $B_i \in K\{U\}$ ,  $A_j \in K\{U, y\} \setminus K\{U\}$ , and a  $d$ -pol  $Q \in K\{U, y\}$ .

*Output:*  $\text{Proj}_y d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * Q)$ , where  $J$  is an IS-product of  $ASC$ .

*Step 1:* Let  $Z = d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * Q)$ ,  $R = d\text{-rem}(Q, ASC)$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \text{Proj}_y d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * R). \tag{3.2}$$

*Step 2:* If  $ASC$  does not involve differential indeterminate  $y$ , i.e.  $s=0$ , then we split it into two cases.

*Step 2a:* If  $R \in K\{U\}$ , then

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * R).$$

*Step 2b:* If  $R \in K\{U, y\} \setminus K\{U\}$ , write  $R$  as a polynomial in  $y$  and its derivatives (say,  $\theta_j y$ ), i.e.,  $R = \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} C_i * Q_i$ , where  $C_i \in K\{U\}$ , every  $Q_i$  is a monomial in  $y$  and  $\theta_j y$ . From (3.2), we thus have

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k_0} d\text{-zero}(ASC/J * C_i).$$

*Step 3:* If  $ASC$  involves  $y$ , i.e.,  $s > 0$ . Let  $ASC' = ASC - \{A_s\} = \{B_1, \dots, B_r, A_1, \dots, A_{s-1}\}$ . We distinguish three cases below.

*Step 3a:* If  $R$  does not involve  $y$  or  $ld(R) < ld(A_s)$ , then

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \text{Proj}_y d\text{-zero}(ASC'/J * R).$$

*Step 3b:* If  $ld(R) = ld(A_s) = v$ , let  $d = \text{deg}_v(A_s)$ ,  $R_0 = \text{Rem}(R^d, P)$ , we have

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \text{Proj}_y d\text{-zero}(ASC'/J * R_0).$$

*Step 3c:* If  $ld(R) > ld(A_s)$ , write  $R$  as a polynomial of  $ld(R)$ ,  $R = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} C_i * (ld(R))^i$ , we have

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_1} \text{Proj}_y (d\text{-zero}(ASC'/J * R * C_i)).$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ , let  $R_1 = R * C_i$ , repeat this step until  $ld(R_1) \leq ld(A_s)$ . Furthermore, by Step 3a or Step 3b, we can eliminate  $A_s$  and obtain

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_2} \text{Proj}_y (d\text{-zero}(ASC'/J R_{i0})).$$

*Step 3d:* By now, we have eliminated  $A_s$ . Let  $J = J' * J_s$ , where  $J'$  and  $J_s$  are the *IS-product* of  $ASC'$  and  $A_s$ , respectively. Let  $R'_i = d\text{-rem}(J_s * R_{i0}, ASC')$ . Thus we have

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_3} \text{Proj}_y (d\text{-zero}(ASC'/J' R'_i)).$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, k_3$ , repeat Steps 3a–c to eliminate  $A_{s-1}, \dots, A_1$  in succession. Finally, we get

$$\text{Proj}_y Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_4} \text{Proj}_y (d\text{-zero}(B_1, \dots, B_r / J_b * P_i)), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $J_b$  is an *IS-product* of  $\{B_1, \dots, B_r\}$ ,  $P_i \in K\{U, y\}$ .

Step 3e: By Step 2, we get

$$Proj_{y,Z} = \bigcup_{i=1}^l d\text{-zero}(B_1, \dots, B_r/J_b * Q_i), \tag{3.4}$$

where  $Q_i \in K\{U\}$ .

Note:  $\{B_1, \dots, B_r\}$  in (3.4) is still a passive  $d$ -asc set which includes only indeterminates  $U$  (i.e.,  $u_1, \dots, u_t$ ).

Algorithm 3.3 computes a projection of a differential quasi-algebraic variety, we refer to it as a *differential projection algorithm*, which computes  $Proj_{y_1, \dots, y_n} d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$  where  $DP, DQ \subset K\{u_1, \dots, u_t, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ .

**Algorithm 3.3.** Input: Two  $d$ -pol sets  $DP = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ ,  $DQ = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$  in  $K\{U, Y\}$  (i.e.,  $K\{u_1, \dots, u_t, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ).

Output:  $Proj_{y_1, \dots, y_n} d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$ .

Step 1: Let  $Q = \prod_{i=1}^s Q_i$ . By Theorem 2.2, under the differential indeterminates order  $u_1 < \dots < u_s < y_1 < \dots < y_n$ , we have

$$d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_1} (d\text{-zero}(ASC_i/J_i * Q)). \tag{3.5}$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ , do Steps 2–3.

Step 2: By Algorithm 3.2, we may eliminate  $y_n$  from  $ASC_i$  and obtain the result

$$Proj_{y_n} d\text{-zero}(ASC_i/J_i * Q) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_2} (d\text{-zero}(\{B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jl_j}, A_{j1}, \dots, A_{jq_j}\}/J'_j * R_j)), \tag{3.6}$$

where  $J'_j$  is an  $IS$ -product of  $\{B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jl_j}, A_{j1}, \dots, A_{jq_j}\}$  and  $A_k, R_j, J'_j \in K\{U, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ ,  $B_k \in K\{U\}$ .

Step 3: Note that  $B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jl_j}, A_{j1}, \dots, A_{jq_j}$  is still a passive  $d$ -asc set which does not include  $y_n$ . Repeat Step 2,  $y_{n-1}, \dots, y_1$  can be eliminated in succession. Then we get

$$Proj_{y_1, \dots, y_n} d\text{-zero}(ASC_i/J_i * Q) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_3} (d\text{-zero}(\{B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jl_j}/\bar{J}_j * \bar{R}_j\})),$$

where  $B_{jk}, \bar{J}_j, \bar{R}_j \in K\{U\}$ ,  $\bar{J}_j$  is an  $IS$ -product of  $\{B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jl_j}\}$ .

Step 4: By the elimination of Steps 2–3 and (3.5), we have

$$d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_5} (d\text{-zero}(\{B_{i1}, \dots, B_{il_i}/\bar{J}_i * \bar{R}_i\})),$$

in which  $B_i, \bar{J}_i, \bar{R}_i \in K\{U\}$ ,  $\bar{J}_i$  is an  $IS$ -product of  $\{B_{i1}, \dots, B_{il_i}\}$ .

Algorithm 3.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Algorithm 3.2. In order to prove Algorithm 3.2, we first give a lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $A \subset K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a passive  $d$ -asc set and  $Q$  a  $d$ -pol which is reduced with respect to  $A$ . Then the system  $A=0, J*Q \neq 0$  has an algebraic solution iff it has a differential solution.*

**Proof.** If the system  $A=0, J*Q \neq 0$  has a differential solution, it obviously has an algebraic solution.

The proof of the other direction involves some concepts and notations appeared in [11] (also see [7,8]). Suppose that  $A=0, J*Q \neq 0$  has an algebraic solution. Then no power of  $J*Q$  is in the ideal  $[A]$ . If  $(J*Q)^r \in [A]$ , in which  $r$  is a positive integer, then  $Q^r \in [A]:J^r$ . By Li's proof [7], a passive  $d$ -asc set is a coherent autoreduced set. (A  $d$ -asc set is called an autoreduced set in [11]).

Since  $Q$  is reduced with respect to  $A$ , it is also partially reduced with respect to  $A$ . Furthermore,  $Q^r$  is partially reduced with respect to  $A$ . By Rosenfeld Lemma, we have  $Q^r \in (A):J^r$ . Hence,  $J^r * Q^r \in (A)$ , whence  $J*Q \in \langle A \rangle$ . That is to say, that all algebraic solutions of the system  $A=0, J*Q \neq 0$  annihilate  $J*Q$ , a contradiction. Using Zorn's Lemma [5], we see that  $[A]$  is contained in a differential prime ideal, which furnishes a differential solution to  $A=0, J*Q \neq 0$ . This proof is complete.  $\square$

In what follows we shall prove the projection of a differential system is the same with that of corresponding algebraic system under the conditions:  $ASC$  being a passive  $d$ -asc set and  $Q$  reduced with respect to  $ASC$ .

Without loss of generality, suppose that  $ASC \subset K\{U, y\}$ ,  $Q \in K\{U, y\}$ , and  $\theta_1 y, \dots, \theta_n y$  are all derivatives appeared in  $ASC$  and  $Q$ . Let

$$V_1 = Proj_{y,d} \text{-zero}(ASC/J*Q),$$

$J$  an IS-product of  $ASC$ .

Consider the corresponding algebraic system  $Elm(ASC) = 0, Elm(J*Q) \neq 0$ . Let

$$V_2 = Proj_{\theta_1 y, \dots, \theta_n y} \text{zero}(Elm(ASC)/Elm(J*Q)).$$

According to the fact that a projection of a quasi-algebraic variety is a quasi-algebraic variety [16],  $V_2$  can be written as

$$V_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^l \text{zero}(Elm(ASC_i)/Elm(J_i*Q_i)),$$

where  $ASC_i \subset K\{U\}$ ,  $Q_i \in K\{U\}$ .

Corresponding to their differential form, let

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^l d\text{-zero}((ASC_i)/(J_i*Q_i)) = V_3.$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Under the notations above,  $V_1 = V_3$ .*

**Proof.** Write  $Z = d\text{-zero}(ASC/J*Q)$ ,  $\bar{Z} = \text{zero}(Elm(ASC)/Elm(J*Q))$ .



$\forall a \in V_1, \exists y$ , such that  $(a, y) \in Z$ . We denote a zero of  $\bar{Z}$  as  $(\text{Elm}(a), \text{Elm}(y))$ , where  $\text{Elm}(y) = \{\theta_1 y, \dots, \theta_n y\}$ . We get  $\text{Elm}(a) \in V_2$ . Furthermore,  $a \in V_3$ , thus  $V_1 \subseteq V_3$ .

On the contrary,  $\forall a \in V_3$ , i.e.,  $\text{Elm}(a) \in V_2$ . We can extend  $\text{Elm}(a)$  to a zero of  $\bar{Z}$ , i.e.  $(\text{Elm}(a), \theta_1 y, \dots, \theta_n y) \in \bar{Z}$ . By Rosenfeld Lemma [11],  $\exists y$ , such that  $(a, y) \in d\text{-zero}(ASC)/(J * Q)$ . Thus  $a \in V_1$ , i.e.  $V_3 \subseteq V_1$ . This proof is finished.  $\square$

**Proof of Algorithm 3.2.** In Step 2a, if  $R \in K\{U\}$ , the  $ASC$  and  $R$  are free of  $y$ , so (3.1) holds.

Steps 2b and 3 are pure algebraic projections, some techniques of which come from Gao and Chou [3] and Seidenberg [12]. By Theorem 3.5, Algorithm 3.2 gives a computation of differential projection.

#### 4. Computing a cover of zeros of a partial differential system

We now continue to consider the parametric system

$$P_1 = 0, \dots, P_r = 0, \quad Q_1 \neq 0, \dots, Q_s \neq 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $P_i, Q_j$  are in  $K\{U, Y\}$ . Let  $DP = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}, DQ = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$ . Following Sit [13] and Gao and Chou [4], we have

**Definition 4.1.** A solution function of (4.1) is a pair  $(S, ASC)$ , where  $S$  is a differential quasi-algebraic variety in  $L^l$  and  $ASC$  is a  $d\text{-asc}$  set in  $K\{U\}\{Y\} - K\{U\}$ , such that

(a) for each  $u \in S$ , let  $ASC', DQ'$  be obtained from  $ASC, DQ$  by replacing the  $u$  by  $u'$ , then  $d\text{-zero}(ASC'/\{J'\} \cup DQ')$  is a non-empty differential quasi-algebraic variety in  $L^n$ .

(b) for each  $y' \in d\text{-zero}(ASC'/\{J'\} \cup DQ')$ ,  $(u', y') \in d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$ . We call also  $(u', y')$  a solution of  $(S, ASC)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A cover of (4.1) is a set of solution functions of (4.1)

$$\{(S_1, ASC_1), \dots, (S_l, ASC_l)\},$$

such that each  $(u', y') \in d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$  is a solution of some  $(S_i, ASC_i)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** There is an algorithm to find a cover for the parameter system (4.1).

Algorithm 4.4 below gives a constructive proof of Theorem 4.3. Furthermore, let

$$C = \{(S_1, ASC_1), \dots, (S_l, ASC_l)\}$$

be a cover of (4.1). Then we have

$$\text{Proj}_{y_1, \dots, y_n} d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ) = \bigcup_{i=1}^l S_i.$$

**Algorithm 4.4.** Input: Two  $d\text{-pol}$  sets  $DP = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}, DQ = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$  in  $K\{U, Y\}$ .

*Output:* A cover for  $d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$ .

*Step 1:* Identical to Step 1 of Algorithm 3.3, we have

$$d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_1} (d\text{-zero}(ASC_i/J_i * Q)).$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ , do Steps 2–3.

*Step 2:* By Algorithm 3.3, we have

$$Proj_{y_1, \dots, y_n} d\text{-zero}(ASC_i/J_i * Q) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_2} d\text{-zero}(B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jl_j}/J'_j * Q'_j). \quad (4.2)$$

*Step 3:* In (4.2), let  $S_i = \cup_{j=1}^{k_2} d\text{-zero}(B_{j1}, \dots, B_{jl_j}/J'_j * Q'_j)$ , continue to eliminate  $u_t, \dots, u_1$  by using Algorithm 3.3, and we get  $D_i = Proj_{u_1, \dots, u_t} S_i$ .

If  $D_i = \text{True}$ , then  $(S_i, ASC_i)$  is a solution function of  $d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$ , otherwise,  $d\text{-zero}(ASC_i/J_i * Q) = \emptyset$ , discard it.

*Step 4:* By now, all solution functions obtained from Step 3:

$$(S_1, ASC_1), \dots, (S_t, ASC_t)$$

furnish a cover for  $d\text{-zero}(DP/DQ)$ .

Example 1 comes from Pommaret and Quadrat [10], which computes a tree of integrability conditions and each leaf of which represents a formal solution of the system. We here compute a cover of its differential zeros.

**Example 1.** Given a partial differential parametric system:

$$DP \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_2^2} - a * \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2^2} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $y$  is a differential indeterminate, and  $a$  as a differential parametric indeterminate. Compute a cover of  $d\text{-zero}(DP)$ .

Let  $a < y$ , according to Theorem 2.2, we have

$$d\text{-zero}DP = d\text{-zero}\left(\frac{1}{a}, a * \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2}\right) \cup d\text{-zero}\left(a, \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2^2}\right).$$

Using Algorithm 4.4, we get a cover of  $d\text{-zero}(DP)$ :

$$\left(\left(\frac{1}{a}\right), a * \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2}\right), \left(a, \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2^2}\right).$$

**Example 2.** Compute a cover of differential zeros of the partial differential parametric system below in parameter  $y_1$ .

$$P_1 = 0, \quad P_2 = 0, \quad Q \neq 0, \quad (4.3)$$

in which  $P_1 = (\partial y_1 / \partial x_1) + 2y_2 + y_1^2$ ;  $P_2 = -(\partial y_1 / \partial x_2) + 4y_2 * y_1^2 - 4y_1 * (\partial y_2 / \partial x_1) + 2(\partial^2 y_2 / \partial x_1^2) + 8y_2^2$ ;  $Q = (\partial y_1 / \partial x_1) * (\partial y_2 / \partial x_2) + (\partial y_1 / \partial x_2)^2$ . ( $P_1, P_2$  occurs in [15]).

Let the ordering between  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be  $y_2 > y_1$ . By Wu–Ritt’s differential zero decomposition theorem, we have

$$d\text{-zero}(\{P_1, P_2\}/Q) = d\text{-zero}(\{H, P_1\}/Q),$$

where  $H = (\partial y_1 / \partial x_2) + (\partial^3 y_1 / \partial x_1^3) - 6y_1^2 * (\partial y_1 / \partial x_1)$ .

According to Algorithm 3.2, we compute the remainder of  $Q$  with respect to  $d$ -asc set  $H, P_1$  and get

$$R = 2 \left( \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} * \frac{\partial^2 y_1}{\partial x_2 * \partial x_1} - 2 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} * y_1 * \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_2},$$

whence,

$$Proj_{y_2} d\text{-zero}(\{P_1, P_2\}/Q) = Proj_{y_2} = d\text{-zero}(\{H, P_1\}/R) = d\text{-zero}(H/R).$$

Furthermore,  $Proj_{y_1} d\text{-zero}(H/R) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, a cover of differential zeros of (4.3) is:

$$((H/R), \{H, P_1\}).$$

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referees for valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1] S. Diop, Elimination in control theory, *Math. Control Signals System* 4 (1991) 335–341.
- [2] S. Diop, Differential-algebraic decision methods and some applications to system theory, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 98 (1992) 137–161.
- [3] X.-S. Gao, S.-C. Chou, Solving parametric algebraic systems, in: *Proceedings of the ISSAC-92*, ACM Press, New York, 1992, pp. 335–341.
- [4] X.-S. Gao, S.-C. Chou, A zero structure theorem for differential parametric system, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 16 (1993) 585–595.
- [5] S. Itaka, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [6] E. Kolchin, *Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [7] Z.M. Li, D.M. Wang, Coherent, regular and simple systems in zero decomposition of partial differential systems, *Systems Sci. Math. Sci.* 12 (Suppl.) (1999) 43–60.
- [8] S. Morrison, The differential ideal [P]:  $M^\infty$ , *J. Symbolic Comput.* 28 (1999) 631–656.
- [9] T. Oaku, Algorithms for finding the structure of solutions of linear partial differential equations, in: *Proceedings of the ISSAC-94*, ACM, New York, 1994, pp. 216–223.
- [10] J.F. Pommaret, A. Quadrat, Formal elimination theory, Application to control theory, 1999, preprint.
- [11] A. Rosenfeld, Specializations in differential algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1959) 394–407.
- [12] A. Seidenberg, An elimination theory for differential algebra, *Univ. California Publ. Math. (N.S.)* 3 (2) (1956) 31–66.
- [13] W.Y. Sit, A theory for parametric linear systems, in: *Proceedings of the ISSAC-91*, ACM, New York, 1991, pp. 112–121.
- [14] V. Weispfenning, Comprehensive Groebner bases, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 14 (1992) 1–29.

- [15] W.-T. Wu, On the foundation of algebraic differential geometry, *Systems Sci. Math. Sci.* 2 (1989) 289–312.
- [16] W.-T. Wu, On a projection theorem of quasi-varieties in elimination theory, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B.* 11 (1990) 220–226.
- [17] W.-T. Wu, *Mathematics Mechanization*, Science, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing, Netherlands, 2000.